

BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
Acting United States Attorney  
JOSEPH T. MCNALLY  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Acting Chief, Criminal Division  
WILSON PARK (Cal. Bar No. 239527)  
KELLYE NG (Cal. Bar No. 313051)  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
Major Crimes Section  
DANBEE KIM (Cal. Bar No. 350014)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Environmental Crimes and Consumer Protection Section  
1300/1400 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-5796/8408/0687  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
Email: wilson.park@usdoj.gov  
kellye.ng@usdoj.gov  
danbee.kim2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RONNY ROJAS, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CR 22-573(A)-FWS-2

AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER  
CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND FINDINGS  
REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS  
PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

**[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE:**  
06-09-2026

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on October 1, 2025. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, and the facts presented by the parties at the October 6, 2025 status conference, demonstrate facts that support a continuance

1 of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a  
2 finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18  
3 U.S.C. § 3161.

4 The Court finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the  
5 continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in  
6 a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely  
7 to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a  
8 miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance  
9 would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would  
10 deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective  
11 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The  
12 Court further finds that the time period of September 11, 2025, to  
13 October 14, 2025, should be excluded from computing the time within  
14 which the trial must commence, as it constitutes a delay resulting  
15 from a pretrial motion, from the filing of the motion through the  
16 prompt resolution of the motion.

17 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

18 1. The trial in this matter is continued from November 4,  
19 2025, to June 9, 2026. The pretrial conference hearing is continued  
20 from October 16, 2025, to May 21, 2026.

21 2. The Court orders the following schedule for pretrial  
22 filings/deadlines and briefing schedule for any motions:

- 23 a. Dispositive Motions Due: March 19, 2026
- 24 b. Oppositions to Dispositive Motions Due: April 2, 2026
- 25 c. Replies for Dispositive Motions Due: April 9, 2026
- 26 d. Hearing on Dispositive Motions: April 16, 2026

1 e. Hearing on Motion for Disclosure of Instructions  
2 Provided to Grand Jury Issuing First Superseding Indictment (Dkt.  
3 270): April 16, 2026

4 f. Deadline to Conduct Meaningful Meet and Confer  
5 regarding Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict  
6 Form, Joint Proposed Statement of the Case, Joint Exhibit List, and  
7 both parties' Witness Lists, including, but not limited to, good-  
8 faith discussions regarding potential fact stipulations and  
9 authenticity of exhibits: April 20, 2026

10 g. Motions *in Limine* Due: April 23, 2026

11 h. Joint Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form,  
12 Joint Proposed Statement of the Case, Joint Exhibit List, and Both  
13 Parties' Witness Lists Due: April 28, 2026

14 i. Rule 16 Discovery Cutoff: April 28, 2026

15 j. Oppositions to Motions *in Limine* Due: May 7, 2026

16 k. Replies to Motions *in Limine* Due: May 14, 2026

17 l. Giglio/Jencks Discovery Due<sup>1</sup>: May 26, 2026

18 3. The time period of November 4, 2025, to June 9, 2026,  
19 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
20 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
21 (h)(7)(B)(iv), and (h)(1)(D).

22 //

23 //

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 

---

<sup>1</sup> The government may continue producing Giglio/Jencks discovery  
after this deadline as it meets with witnesses leading up to and  
during trial.

1           4.    Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
3 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
4 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
5 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
6 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
7 commence.

8           IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10           \_\_\_\_\_  
11           DATE

\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE FRED W. SLAUGHTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16 Presented by:

17           \_\_\_\_\_  
          /s/  
18           KELLYE NG  
19           WILSON PARK  
          DANBEE KIM  
          Assistant United States Attorneys